

ORIGINAL

**BELLSOUTH**

Kathleen B. Levitz  
Vice President-Federal Regulatory

Suite 900  
1133-21st Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036-3351  
202 463-4113  
Fax: 202 463-4198  
Internet: levitz.kathleen@bsc.bls.com

September 22, 1999

RECEIVED

SEP 22 1999

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED


Re: Written Ex Parte in CC Docket No. 98-121

Dear Ms. Salas:

This is to inform you that BellSouth Corporation has made a written ex parte to Andrea Kearney, a senior attorney in the Common Carrier Bureau's Policy and Program Planning Division. That ex parte consists of a copy of the document entitled Revised BellSouth OSS Testing - Status Report that KPMG filed with the Georgia Public Service Commission on September 21, 1999.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(1) of the Commission's rules, we are filing two copies of this notice and that written ex parte presentation in the docket identified above. Please associate this notification with the record in that proceeding.

Sincerely,



Kathleen B. Levitz

Attachment

cc: Andrea Kearney (w/o attachment)  
William Agee (w/o attachment)

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

0 + 2

Kathleen B. Levitz  
Vice President-Federal Regulatory

September 22, 1999

Suite 900  
1133-21st Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036-3351  
202 463-4113  
Fax: 202 463-4198  
Internet: levitz.kathleen@bsc.bls.com

WRITTEN EX PARTE

Ms. Andrea Kearney  
Policy and Program Planning Division  
Common Carrier Bureau  
Room 5-C330  
Federal Communications Commission  
The Portals  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St. S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

SEP 22 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: CC Docket No. 98-121

Dear Ms Kearney:

Attached is a copy of the document entitled Revised BellSouth OSS Testing – Status Report that KPMG filed with the Georgia Public Service Commission on September 21, 1999. The only difference between this report and the one filed KPMG filed with the Georgia Commission on September 10 is a correction to the billing test bed availability date listed in Section I-8 on page 6 of 10. I am sending this report to you in response to your request that BellSouth share with you any status reports prepared by KPMG as part of the third party testing program currently underway in Georgia. If you have any questions after reviewing the report, please call me at 202.463.4113.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(1) of the Commission's rules, I am filing two copies of this written ex parte presentation with the Secretary of the Commission and requesting that it be associated with the record in the docket identified above.

Sincerely,

  
Kathleen B. Levitz

Attachment

cc: William Agee



303 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 2000  
Atlanta, GA 30308

Telephone 404 222 3000  
Fax 404 222 3050

September 21, 1999

Ms. Helen O'Leary  
Executive Secretary  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
47 Trinity Avenue SW, Room 520  
Atlanta, GA 30334

**RECEIVED**

SEP 21 1999

**EXECUTIVE SECRETARY  
G.P.S.C.**

RE: Investigation into Development of Electronic Interfaces for BellSouth's  
Operational Support Systems; Docket No. 8354-U

Dear Ms. O'Leary:

Enclosed please find an original and twenty-six (26) copies, as well as an electronic copy, of KPMG LLP's Revised September 10, 1999 BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation Status Report for filing in the above referenced matter. The only revision to the Revised Status Report is a correction to the billing test bed availability date on page 6 of 10, in Section I-8. The revision is indicated using legislative format in the filed copy.

I would appreciate your filing same and returning a copy stamped "filed" in the enclosed stamped, self-addressed envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this regard.

Very truly yours,

David Frey  
Manager

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record





**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation  
Status Report  
September 10, 1999**

---

## **1.0 Document Objective**

In this document, KPMG provides a summary status report on developments related to the BellSouth OSS Testing Project. A brief overview of key developments is provided in section 2.0. A more detailed report on specific test items is provided in the table in section 4.0. Each item presented in the table in section 4.0 includes a reference number that identifies the item in previous or future status reports.

## **2.0 Key Developments**

### Assignment of Responsibilities

BellSouth, Hewlett-Packard (HP), and KPMG have jointly agreed to a change in the assignment of responsibilities in the testing process. A letter signed by the three parties that outlines the change in responsibilities has been sent to the Georgia Commission for their approval. The responsibilities outlined in the letter are as follows:

1. KPMG will be assigned the responsibilities of Test Manager in addition to the Firm B auditor role they are now fulfilling. These responsibilities will include:
  - a. Preparation and approval of the specific test plans for each of the test domains, including Pre-ordering, Ordering and Provisioning, Billing, Maintenance and Repair, Forecasting and Change Management, as well as all volume testing associated with each of these domains, consistent with the Commission's May 20, 1999 Order and the Master Test Plan.
  - b. Preparation of Local Service Request data, pre-ordering data, billing data, or any similar data required to execute the test plans described in (a).
  - c. Direction of the execution of the test plans, reporting of results, and preparation of the final report for the Commission.
2. KPMG will independently monitor the test by managing the test as an independent third party.
3. HP will be assigned the responsibilities of preparing the Testing Infrastructure which includes (a) preparing interfaces to conduct the test plans developed by KPMG, (b) transmitting and receiving the test data, and (c) collecting and reporting the results to KPMG.
4. HP will further provide professional services as required under the direction of KPMG both to help facilitate a smooth transition of responsibility, and to most effectively leverage HP's assets and expertise in the OSS testing arena.



**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation  
Status Report  
September 10, 1999**

---

5. KPMG will maintain the original Firm B responsibilities assigned by the Commission, including evaluation of the transactional and operational testing, comparison of the testing results to those reported by BellSouth's OSS reporting systems, and the audit of BellSouth's Percent Flow-Through Service Requests.
6. The scope and detail of the testing will be as described in the Master Test Plan as updated August 20, 1999 or as further clarified by approval of KPMG as Test Manager.

This assignment of responsibilities will place KPMG and HP in roles similar to the roles these firms were assigned during the recently concluded test conducted by the NY-PUC of Bell Atlantic's OSS.

**Transition Activities**

Following the September 2, 1999 meeting to discuss transition of test manager responsibilities, KPMG test leads met with the HP test manager to review the status of the test plans for each evaluation area. Meetings were held to discuss the current status of the pre-test and test activities associated with the billing, pre-order, order & provisioning, maintenance & repair, change management, and volume test areas. Metrics evaluation approaches were discussed within the context of the functional test areas. The technology infrastructure developed and implemented by HP was also reviewed.

KPMG and HP are working in a cooperative manner to transfer work product and knowledge from the HP teams to newly formed KPMG teams. KPMG is currently assessing the usefulness of pre-test work product produced by HP in each of the functional test evaluation areas. KPMG is considering implementation of a front-end test management tool utilized in the New York and Pennsylvania tests.

KPMG submitted a transition plan to BST on September 8, 1999 detailing the activities KPMG and HP will jointly undertake to ensure an effective transition of the test manager role.

**Test Developments**

- Master Test Plan (MTP). A revised MTP was filed with the Georgia Public Service Commission on August 20, 1999.
- EDI Interface Testing. EDI-PC Testing has completed and the interface is being used for submission of service orders against the billing test bed. Testing for EDI LAN-to-LAN, an interface to be used for order functional testing, is in progress.
- TAG Interface Testing. The first two phases of connectivity and application testing have completed. Pre-order validity testing is in progress and expected to complete by September 13, 1999. Order validity testing will commence once EDI testing is complete.



**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation**  
**Status Report**  
**September 10, 1999**

---

- RSIMMS (Volume Testing System) Environment Audit. –KPMG has requested detailed information on both the RSIMMS and the production environments for comparison purposes. KPMG has suggested execution of a limited capacity test against the production environment. BellSouth has agreed and issued a change request for the MTP.
- Billing. On Monday, August 30, KPMG received a draft of the detailed test plans for the Invoicing and Daily Usage Feed Functional Tests (BLG-1, BLG-2). Following a joint test plan walk through by KPMG and HP, KPMG agreed that HP could proceed with submission of service orders. These submissions were subsequently initiated via EDI-PC. HP was provided with a list of considerations for inclusion in the next draft of the test plan. These considerations will be incorporated as KPMG assumes management of the test execution.
- Billing. Difficulties have arisen in the creation of the EDI-PC Billing service orders. A plan, now awaiting final concurrence, has been proposed to overcome these problems and minimize the overall impact on the test schedule.

### **3.0 Key Upcoming Activities**

- KPMG will issue a test project schedule based on the transition activities to BellSouth during the week of September 13, 1999.
- BellSouth is scheduling training for new KPMG team members
- KPMG will issue future status reports covering all functional test areas upon achievement of the following test milestones:
  - Initiation of functional transaction testing for pre-order and order & provisioning
  - Initiation of volume testing for pre-order and order & provisioning

**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation  
Status Report  
September 10, 1999**

**4.0 Specific Item Status**

| Ref | Item                             | Status  | Issues   | Next Step/Resolution   |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| I-1 | Master Test Plan (MTP) Revisions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A revised MTP was filed with the Commission on 8/20/99.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG is currently reviewing MTP in new role as Test Manager.</li> <li>Flow-Through Audit description is being revised.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG will provide proposed revisions by 9/17/99.</li> </ul>   |
| I-2 | Test bed development             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HP provided BST with an initial count of the number of facilities that need to be available for testing and the number of facilities that need to be provisioned before the start of testing. KPMG is reviewing and revising these requirements.</li> </ul>                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HP's request excluded the number of facilities that need to be provisioned as CLEC accounts at the start of the test.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG is conducting a test bed requirements analysis and will provide test bed specifications to BST by mid-September.</li> </ul>  |
|     |                                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BST has provided a summary of functional testing constraints based on the number of orders that will require manual processing and the number that are carried through to provisioning.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BST transaction processing constraints could result in extension of the transaction test schedule</li> </ul>                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG is developing test cases for each UNE scenario in the MTP.</li> <li>Based on the number and diversity of these test cases, KPMG will determine the number of instances to run against each test case. This data will be used to develop the test bed specifications and to arrive at a total number of planned test transactions.</li> </ul> |
| I-6 | EDI functional testing           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EDI-PC interface testing completed on 9/2/99. This interface is being used for submission of LSRs used to establish accounts for the billing evaluation. Transactions sent through this interface are not part of the order functional transaction evaluations.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>  |

**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation  
Status Report  
September 10, 1999**

| Ref* | Item | Status  | Issues   | Next Step/Resolution   |
|------|------|---|--|--|
|      |      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Test Agreement for EDI LAN-to-LAN interface testing has been negotiated. The first two phases of testing, Connectivity and Syntax, have completed. End-to-end testing did not complete as scheduled on 9/3/99.</li> <li>Eleven (11) of 20 end-to-end test cases have been successfully submitted.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An addendum to the Test Agreement will be negotiated since end-to-end testing did not complete as initially scheduled.</li> <li>One of the scenarios (REQ Type A, ACT Type T) planned for interface testing is not currently supported by BST's EDI interface.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BST is in the process of validating the remaining end-to-end test cases.</li> <li>An addendum to the Test Agreement will be developed, if necessary.</li> <li>A software fix is scheduled to be implemented on 10/8/99 to allow this order type to be processed via EDI.</li> </ul> |



**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation**  
**Status Report**  
**September 10, 1999**

| Ref* | Item                                      | Status   | Issues  | Next Step/Resolution   |
|------|---|--|---|--|
| I-7  | TAG functional testing                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Test Agreement for TAG interface testing has been negotiated. The first two phases of testing, Connectivity and Application, have completed. Validity testing for pre-order transactions did not complete as scheduled on 9/3/99.</li> <li>HP expects pre-order Validity testing to complete by 9/13/99.</li> <li>Order Validity testing will begin when EDI testing is complete. HP anticipates TAG interface testing will finish by 9/17/99.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An addendum to the Test Agreement may have to be negotiated since Validity testing for pre-ordering and ordering did not complete as initially scheduled.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HP will continue submitting pre-order Validity transactions and initiate order Validity testing.</li> <li>An addendum to the Test Agreement will be developed, if necessary.</li> </ul> |
| I-8  | BLG-1 CRIS/CABS Invoicing Functional Test | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On <del>8/30/99</del><del>30/99</del>, BST made the Billing test bed available to HP. At this time, HP's comprehensive test plan was not yet developed. KPMG asserted that LSR processing could initiate but HP would bear the risks that future test problems would be incurred.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Considerable problems were encountered in processing the LSRs prepared by HP due to errors by both HP and BellSouth. In order to expedite the order entry and satisfy test schedule requirements, it was agreed to allow BST to assist in preparation of the LSRs, since LSR development and submission are outside the scope of the billing test targets. KPMG agreed to allow this assistance with the condition that firewalls be implemented between the HP billing and ordering teams.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG/HP billing teams will develop revised test plan and transaction schedule</li> </ul>  |

## Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation

Status Report  
September 10, 1999

| Ref* | Item           | Status   | Issues   | Next Step/Resolution   |
|------|----------------|--|--|--|
|      |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On 8/23/99, a BST SME team met with HP at the test site in order to address specific billing questions. The objective of this meeting was to expedite the manual submission of orders to establish the billing accounts.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Billing questions were not received in advance by BST and, therefore, not addressed. The LSRs were populated with BST assistance; HP elected to delay submission of orders (via EDI instead of fax) until the September 5<sup>th</sup>/7<sup>th</sup> bill cycles.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BST responded to HP's list of billing questions via email on 8/30. BLG-1 testing will begin according to HP's revised schedule. No additional action required.</li> </ul> |
|      |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On 8/30/99, KPMG received a draft of the detailed test plan for BLG-1 and BLG-2. Upon review, it was determined that testing could start Wednesday, September 1<sup>st</sup>, although there were a number of recommendations for additions or revisions to the plans.</li> <li>HP began submitting orders via EDI-PC shortly thereafter. Due to submission errors, the targeted bill cycles of 9/5 and 9/7 were missed.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A second draft of the detailed test plan is required and will be developed by KPMG and HP as part of the transition activities.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG to direct development of a revised detailed test plan</li> </ul>   |
|      | Billing of LNP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LNP scenarios cannot be implemented in the initial Billing test transactions due to intervals involved in establishing a valid NPAC registration code.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BST has suggested that, since no LNP indications appear on a bill, requiring two bill cycles for LNP provisioned elements would unnecessarily extend the Billing test. KPMG has concurred, subject to validation of the BST claim during testing.</li> </ul>                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG will test whether LNP charges appear on bills.</li> </ul>  |



**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation  
Status Report  
September 10, 1999**

| Ref* | Item                  | Status  | Issues   | Next Step/Resolution                                  |
|------|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| II-1 | Metrics               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Reporting from the BST system for producing metrics (PMAP) is available monthly. For OSS testing purposes, KPMG/HP believe a more frequent reporting system is necessary.</li><li>• BST has proposed that access to tactical raw data will be provided at more frequent intervals than PMAP for metrics comparison purposes. However, PMAP will serve as the official source of BST metrics for the Performance Results Comparison tests.</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Metrics reporting and delivery procedures need to be developed and approved prior to initiation of functional testing.</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• N/A</li></ul> |
| II-2 | Project Plan/Schedule | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• KPMG will issue a project plan/schedule on 9/14/99.</li></ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None.</li></ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• N/A</li></ul> |

**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation  
Status Report  
September 10, 1999**

| Ref. | Item              | Status   | Issues  | Next Step/Resolution  |
|------|-------------------|--|---|---|
| II-3 | Volume Test Audit | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KPMG will audit the RSIMMS environment and report on whether BST adequately copied its current production environment.</li> <li>• BST provided initial detailed information on the RSIMMS environment.</li> <li>• KPMG has suggested execution of a limited capacity test in the production environment.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KPMG does not believe that conducting the volume test against a test system environment rather than the production system environment will satisfactorily accomplish the objectives of the volume test. KPMG understands that the GA PSC has approved conducting the volume test against a test system environment, but believes BST may open itself to criticism from interested parties, and that this approach may negatively impact BST's 271 filing. KPMG has agreed to audit the adequacy of the replication of the production system in the test environment. BST has agreed to run a capacity test in the production environment.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KPMG will conduct several walkthroughs and invasive system reviews to audit the system.</li> </ul>   |
| II-4 | Volume Test       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KPMG will design test cases and determine the distribution of pre-orders and orders for the volume test.</li> <li>• KPMG is reviewing the HP test bed requirements submitted to BST.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• BST is determining which pre-orders and orders can be reused during the test and developing a system to reset the systems to allow for greater reuse of the test bed.</li> <li>• KPMG will define the volumes needed for the Normal and Peak Tests, and will identify the flow-through pre-orders and orders to be tested.</li> <li>• BST will provide a forecasted distribution of pre-orders.</li> </ul> |

**Revised BellSouth OSS Testing Evaluation  
Status Report  
September 10, 1999**

| Ref  | Item                                 | Status   | Issues  | Next Step Resolution  |
|------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| II-5 | Forecasting & Change Management      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electronic Interface Change Control Process (EICCP) Steering Committee meeting held on 8/3/99. Next meeting, scheduled for 9/14/99, will be a follow-up meeting to update the action items status.</li> <li>OSS99 Change Control Process meetings for 8/18/99, 8/25/99, and 9/8/99 were cancelled. A phone meeting was held on 9/1/99. The next weekly meeting is scheduled for 9/15/99.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KPMG will write the formal Forecasting and Change Management test plans.</li> </ul>  |
| I-9  | M&R Functional Testing: Connectivity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>TAFI dial-up connectivity has been established.</li> <li>HP/KPMG have decided that the EC-CPM-TA client will be used as the access to ECTA. Reconfiguration to provide T-1 access should be completed by 9/15.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Volume test interface and methodology have not been determined.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A request has been made to BST to re-configure the T1 to access the EC-CPM-TA.</li> <li>KPMG will submit a list of M&amp;R volume-related questions to BST.</li> </ul> |

**\*Referencing Methodology:** An Issue beginning with I-n indicates that the issue was listed on the July 22, 1999 status report. An Issue beginning with II-n indicates that the issue is new for this report.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 8354-U

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of the within and foregoing, upon known parties of record, by depositing same in the United States Mail with adequate postage affixed thereto, properly addressed as follows:

Jim Hurt, Director  
Tammy Stanley, Esq.  
Consumers' Utility Counsel  
2 MLK, Jr. Drive, Plaza Level East  
Atlanta, GA 30334-4600

Newton M. Galloway  
Newton Galloway & Associates  
Suite 400 First Union Bank Tower  
100 South Hill Street  
Griffin, GA 30229

Charles A. Hudak, Esq.  
Gerry, Friend & Sapronov, LLP  
Three Ravinia Drive, Suite 1450  
Atlanta, GA 30346-2131

Kent Heyman, General Counsel  
MGC Communications  
3301 N. Buffalo Drive  
Las Vegas, NV 89129

Suzanne W. Ockleberry  
AT&T  
1200 Peachtree Street, NE  
Suite 8100  
Atlanta, GA 30309

John M. Stuckey, Jr.  
Terri M. Lyndall  
Webb, Stuckey & Lindsey  
Harris Tower, Peachtree Center  
233 Peachtree Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Atlanta, GA 30303

Charles V. Gerkin, Jr.  
Chorey, Taylor & Feil  
Suite 1700, The Lenox Building  
3399 Peachtree Road, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30326

Frank B. Strickland  
Wilson, Strickland & Benson  
One Midtown Plaza, Suite 1100  
1360 Peachtree Street, NE  
Atlanta, GA 30309

Carolyn Tatum Roddy  
Sprint Communications  
3100 Cumberland Circle  
Atlanta, GA 30339

Scott A. Sapperstein  
Sr. Policy Counsel  
Intermedia Communications, Inc.  
3625 Queen Palm Drive  
Tampa, FL 33619

John P. Silk  
Georgia Telephone Association  
1900 Century Boulevard, Suite 8  
Atlanta, GA 30345

Eric J. Branfman  
Richard M. Rindler  
Swidler & Berlin  
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20007

James G. Harralson  
BellSouth Long Distance  
32 Perimeter Center East  
Atlanta, GA 30346

Robert A. Ganton  
Regulatory Law Office  
Dept. Army  
Suite 700  
901 N. Stuart Street  
Arlington, VA 22203-1837

Charles F. Palmer  
Troutman Sanders LLP  
5200 NationsBank Plaza  
600 Peachtree Street, NE  
Atlanta, GA 30308-2216

Peter C. Canfield  
Dow Lohnes & Albertson  
One Ravinia Drive, Suite 1600  
Atlanta, GA 30346

Judith A. Holiber  
One Market  
Spear Street Tower, 32nd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105

James M. Tennant  
Low Tech Designs, Inc.  
1204 Saville Street  
Georgetown, SC 29440

Laureen McGurk Seeger  
Morris, Manning & Martin  
1600 Atlanta Financial Center  
3343 Peachtree Road, NE  
Atlanta, GA 30326-1044

Peyton S. Hawes Jr.  
127 Peachtree Street, NE  
Suite 1100  
Atlanta, GA 30303-1810

Daniel Walsh  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
40 Capitol Square  
Atlanta, GA 30334-1300

Tom Bond  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
47 Trinity Avenue, Room 520  
Atlanta, GA 30334

Special Assistant Attorney General  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
47 Trinity Avenue, Room 520  
Atlanta, GA 30334

Mark Brown  
Director of Legal and Government Affairs  
MediaOne, Inc.  
2925 Courtyards Drive  
Norcross, GA 30071

Cecil L. Davis Jr.  
NEXTLINK Georgia, Inc.  
4000 Highlands Parkway  
Smyrna, GA 30082


Jeffrey Blumenfeld  
Elise P. W. Kiely  
Blumenfeld & Cohen  
1615 M Street, N.W.  
Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20036

John McLaughlin  
KMC Telecom Inc.  
Suite 170  
3025 Breckinridge Boulevard  
Duluth, GA 30096

James A. Schendt  
Regulatory Affairs Manager  
Interpath Communications, Inc.  
P. O. Box 13961  
Durham, NC 27709-3961

Fred McCallum, Jr.  
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.  
125 Perimeter Center West  
Room 376  
Atlanta, GA 30346

This 21<sup>st</sup> of September, 1999.

  
David Frey

KPMG  
303 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 2000  
Atlanta, GA 30308  
(404) 222-3000